

27 February 2017

Redistribution Tribunal C/- Tasmanian Electoral Commission Reply Paid 83575 MOONAH TAS 7009

submissions@lcredistribution.tas.gov.au

Dear Redistribution Tribunal for Tasmania,

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party is pleased to endorse the following recommendations for the Redistribution Tribunal for Tasmania's consideration. We note the current enrolment quota for the purposes of the redistribution is 24,998 as determined at 30 September 2016, with a projected enrolment of 25,627 as of 31 March 2021. We note any variation from the Council Division quota is not to exceed 10 percent.

Mersey, Montgomery and Murchison

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party supports the proposals of the Redistribution Tribunal in regards to these three North-West Coast and West Coast Legislative Divisions. As most of the proposals are relatively minor, sensible, and share clear community of interest, we believe these changes would have broad community support.

Prosser, Pembroke, Huon, Rumney and Hobart

Whilst we recognise the proposals represent a significant change to some of the boundaries of the current Divisions, the Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party supports the Tasmanian Electoral Commissions proposals in regards to these five Southern Legislative Divisions of Rumney, Pembroke, Huon, Prosser and Hobart. These are sensible proposals which have been considered, with clear community of interest in each of the Divisions.

Halving the current Division of Aspley geographically will give the townships in the new Division of Prosser better representation. The makeup of the new Division would unite the Glamorgan Spring Bay municipality with townships of central and southern Midlands which have very similar rural demographics and share community of interest regarding infrastructure, regional healthcare and education services. This

new Division will give a stronger rural voice and better representation to the communities in this proposed new Division.

Nelson moving further south to include more of the Kingborough municipality through Blackmans Bay also has a clear community of interest with other parts of the Kingborough municipality which are already included in the Nelson Division.

The proposals to Hobart, whilst meaning it will lose some areas of the Hobart City Council to Elwick, the new suburbs it is gaining from Nelson all reside in the Hobart City Council which all have clear connections to Hobart.

Windermere

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party is ambivalent to the minimal changes on surrounding areas to the East of Windermere being added to that electorate in order for McIntyre to meet the tolerance threshold in its projected enrolment.

Launceston, McIntyre & Rosevears

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party does not support Perth, Devon Hills, Pateena Road and Relbia being moved into the Launceston Division. We propose Launceston retains the areas of Hadspen and Prospect, with McIntyre gaining Perth.

Hadspen clearly identifies with, and is largely considered, an outer suburb of Launceston with most community services accessed by residents located in Launceston. Perth is a rural service centre town and strongly identifies with communities in the Northern Midlands LGA along with the surrounding areas of Devon Hills and Pateena Road. Including Perth and surrounding areas in McIntyre rather than Launceston would unite the overwhelming majority of the Northern Midlands LGA into one Division.

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party supports Summerhill being moved into Rosevears in order to unite that suburb within one Division and provide a more defined boundary. We support the whole of Prospect being retained in Launceston for the same reason.

Below are our proposals which all meet the enrolment quota. All of this submission's aggregate enrolment figures are derived using the TEC's Projection methods for the Tasmania, Capital City/Balance of State, Statistical as outlined in Appendix IV of the Initial Redistribution Proposal.

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportion Future	Proportion Current	Labor Proposal
6105601	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	266	262	266	262	Launceston
6105602	Launceston	Hadspen - Carrick	321	303	0	0	Launceston
6105602	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	321	303	321	303	Launceston
6105603	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	286	270	286	270	Launceston
6105604	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	254	231	254	231	Launceston
6105605	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	189	189	0	0	Launceston
6105605	Launceston	Hadspen - Carrick	189	189	189	189	Launceston
6105606	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	264	261	264	261	Launceston
6105607	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	339	337	339	337	Launceston
6105608	Rosevears	Hadspen - Carrick	0	0	0	0	Launceston
6105608	Launceston	Hadspen - Carrick	92.00	92	1.84	1.84	Launceston
6105608	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	92.00	92	90.16	90.16	Launceston
6105609	McIntyre	Hadspen - Carrick	243	213	243	213	Launceston

Proposed Change Adjustments in Hadspen & Carrick

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
McIntyre	-2063.16	-1967.16
Launceston	2063.16	1967.16

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	Proportion future	proportion current	Labor Proposal
6104801	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	333	331	333	331	Launceston
6104802	Launceston	Summerhill - Prospect	254	256	0	0	Launceston
6104802	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	254	256	254	256	Launceston
6104808	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	307	302	307	302	Launceston
6104809	Launceston	Summerhill - Prospect	444	441	0	0	Launceston
6104809	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	444	441	444	441	Launceston
6104810	Launceston	Summerhill - Prospect	200	201	0	0	Launceston
6104810	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	200	201	200	201	Launceston
6104811	Rosevears	Summerhill - Prospect	102	102	40.8	40.8	Launceston
6104811	Launceston	Summerhill - Prospect	102	102	61.2	61.2	Launceston
6104814	Launceston	Summerhill - Prospect	194	197	0	0	Launceston

Proposed Changes in Prospect

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Rosevears	-1640	-1633
Launceston	1640	1633

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportio n future	proportio n current	Labor Proposal
6105202	McIntyre	Perth - Evandale	225	219	0	0	McIntyre
6106201	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	225	219	225	219	McIntyre
6106202	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	275	266	275	266	McIntyre
6106204	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	175	176	175	176	McIntyre
6106206	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	401	367	401	367	McIntyre
6106207	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	228	229	228	229	McIntyre
6106209	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	252	244	252	244	McIntyre
6106211	McIntyre	Perth - Evandale	397	400	0	0	McIntyre
6106211	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	397	400	397	400	McIntyre
6106213	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	491	470	491	470	McIntyre
6106214	McIntyre	Perth - Evandale	275	270	0	0	McIntyre
6106214	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	275	270	275	270	McIntyre
6106201	McIntyre	Perth - Evandale	225	219	0	0	McIntyre
6106201	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	225	219	225	219	McIntyre
6106202	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	275	266	275	266	McIntyre
6106204	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	175	176	175	176	McIntyre
6106206	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	401	367	401	367	McIntyre
6106207	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	228	229	228	229	McIntyre
6106209	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	252	244	252	244	McIntyre
6106211	McIntyre	Perth - Evandale	397	400	0	0	McIntyre
6106211	Launceston	Perth - Evandale	397	400	397	400	McIntyre

Proposed Changes in Perth

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Launceston	-2719	-2641
McIntyre	2719	2641

Therefore, Labor's proposed changes would change the total enrolments of Launceston, McIntyre and Rosevears to the following. All within the bounds of 10% of the average divisional enrolment.

McIntyre		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Hadspen & Carrick	-1967.16	-2063.16
	Perth & Surrounds	2641	2719
Sub total		673.84	655.84
TEC Totals		26,631	26,914
Labor totals		27,305	27,570

Launceston		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Perth & Surrounds	-2641	-2719
	Hadspen	1967.16	2063.16
	Prospect	1633	1640
Subtotal		959.16	984.16
TEC Totals		24,804	25,539
Labor totals		25,763	26,523

Rosevears		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Prospect	-1633	-1640
Subtotals		-1640	-1633
TEC Totals		26,208	26,892
Labor Totals		24,568	25,259

Elwick & Derwent

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party supports the current proposal of uniting the suburb of Claremont into the Division of Derwent as this is a sensible approach which will alleviate the current confusion of residents caused by the present division of the suburb into two different Divisions. Lenah Valley (west) moving from Hobart into Elwick is reasonable as this area is directly connected with Glenorchy via Kalang Ave.

However, we propose Collinsvale being moved from Elwick into Derwent as it is a better fit for the more rural Derwent compared to the urban areas of Chigwell and Berriedale. Derwent already has Molesworth and it makes sense to shift the boundary across to include Collinsvale. Derwent currently already has many rural towns in that Division and it would make sense for Collinsvale to be moved from Elwick into Derwent.

Berriedale and Chigwell are closely connected and both are part of the Glenorchy City Council. They are very closely aligned and integrated suburbs with close community of interest and share a clear boundary from Claremont. These two urban suburbs are more suited to the urban electorate of Elwick rather than the more rural Division of Derwent.

Below are our proposed recommendations which keep Berriedale and Chigwell in Elwick, with Derwent gaining Collinsvale from Elwick. This meets the enrolment quota.

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportion future	proportion current	Labor Proposal
6101401	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	199	198	199	198	Derwent
6101414	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	259	254	0	0	Derwent
6101414	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	259	254	259	254	Derwent

Proposed changes to Collinsvale:

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Derwent	-458	452
Elwick	-458	-452

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportion future	proportion current	Labor Proposal
6101404	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	213	212	213	212	Elwick
6101405	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	273	268	273	268	Elwick
6101407	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	249	244	0	0	Elwick
6101407	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	249	244	249	244	Elwick
6101409	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	417	414	0	0	Elwick
6101409	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	417	414	417	414	Elwick
6101412	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	177	175	38.94	38.5	Elwick
6101412	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	177	175	138.06	136.5	Elwick
6101413	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	184	180	184	180	Elwick

Proposed changes to Chigwell

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Derwent	-458	452
Elwick	-458	-452

Therefore, Labor's proposed changes would change the total enrolments of Elwick & Derwent to the following. All within the bounds of 10% of the average divisional enrolment.

Elwick		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Collinsvale	-452	-458
	Chigwell	1356.5	1374.94
Subtotal		904.5	916.94
TEC Totals		23889	24692
<u> </u>			
Labor Totals		24793.5	25608.94

Derwent		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Collinsvale	452	458
	Chigwell	-1356.5	-1374.94
Subtotal		-904.5	-916.94
TEC			
Totals		24824	25208
Labor			
Totals		23919.5	24291.06

Summary

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party, whilst recognising there are some significant changes to current Divisions, overall, as outlined, support many of the proposed changes. Where we have objections and suggestions for improvement, we believe we have demonstrated sensible and clear community of interest for these recommendations to be supported. All our recommendations meet the enrolment quota.

The Tasmanian Branch looks forward to further discussing our proposals.

Yours sincerely,

S. Benson

Stuart Benson

State Secretary